

# Supply Base Report: INCOM LLC

Second Surveillance Audit

www.sbp-cert.org



#### Completed in accordance with the Supply Base Report Template Version 1.4

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see <u>www.sbp-cert.org</u>

#### Document history

- Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015
- Version 1.1 published 22 February 2016
- Version 1.2 published 23 June 2016
- Version 1.3 published 14 January 2019; re-published 3 April 2020
- Version 1.4 published 22 October 2020

© Copyright Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2020

#### Contents

- 1 Overview 2 **Description of the Supply Base** 2.1 General description 2.2 Description of countries included in the Supply Base 2.3 Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier 2.4 Quantification of the Supply Base 3 **Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation** 4 Supply Base Evaluation 4.1 Scope 4.2 Justification 4.3 Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme 4.4 Conclusion 5 **Supply Base Evaluation process** 6 Stakeholder consultation 6.1 Response to stakeholder comments 7 **Mitigation measures** 7.1 Mitigation measures 7.2 Monitoring and outcomes 8 **Detailed findings for indicators**
- 9 Review of report
- 9.1 Peer review
- 9.2 Public or additional reviews
- 10 Approval of report

#### Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators

#### **1 Overview**

Producer name:	INCOM LLC
<b>Producer address:</b> region, Russia	Energetik zhiloy rayon, P 18 17 02 01, 665709 Bratsk, Irkutsk
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP-07-27
Geographic position:	56.167700, 101.623000
Primary contact:	Alexander Petrunko, +7 395 340 9507 or +7 906 942 0747,uk.updom@mail.ru
Company website:	http://www.wwpl.ru/
Date report finalised:	27 Sep 2021
Close of last CB audit:	28 Sep 2021
Name of CB:	NEPCon OÜ

SBP Standard(s) used:SBP Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock, SBPStandard 4: Chain of Custody, SBP Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data Instruction,Instruction Document 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data 1.5

 Weblink to Standard(s) used:
 https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards

SBP Endorsed Regional Risk Assessment: Not applicable

Weblink to SBR on Company website: http://www.wwpl.ru/

Indicate how the current evaluation fits within the cycle of Supply Base Evaluations							
Main (Initial) Evaluation	First Surveillance	Second Surveillance	Third Surveillance	Fourth Surveillance	Re-assessment		
		$\boxtimes$					

#### 2 Description of the Supply Base

#### 2.1 General description

Feedstock types: Secondary

Includes Supply Base evaluation (SBE): No

Feedstock origin (countries): Russia

#### 2.2 Description of countries included in the Supply Base

Country: Russia

Area/Region: Irkutsk region

Exclusions: No

The Supply base of INCOM LLC is the area of the forest fund of the Irkutsk region. The total area of the Supply base is 69,4 million ha. Forest lands comprise 64,7 million ha and non-forest land 4,7 million ha. Production forests make up 50% of the Supply base area, buffer forests - 23%, reserve forests - 27%. According to the information contained in the regional Forest Plan, 12% of the country's forest reserves are concentrated in the region. But not all forest area is covered with forests. Some of them have been cut down and not yet replanted; part damaged by fires; about 1,6 million hectares are occupied by glades, ravines, roads, buildings, etc. The total standing stock is 8,8 billion m3, including the stock of coniferous stands – 7,5 billion m3.

# 2.3 Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier

For the production of wood pellets, only certified sawdust is used as raw material (FSC100%), therefore, forNo action is required to promote certification among suppliers.

#### 2.4 Quantification of the Supply Base

#### **Supply Base**

- a. Total Supply Base area (million ha): 69,40
- b. Tenure by type (million ha):69.40 (Public)
- c. Forest by type (million ha):69.40 (Boreal)
- d. Forest by management type (million ha):69.40 (Managed natural)
- e. Certified forest by scheme (million ha):13.16 (FSC)

Describe the harvesting type which best describes how your material is sourced: Clearcutting

**Explanation:** Maximal size of the clear cut is limited with 50 ha. Harvesters and forwarders are used for timber harvesting.

Was the forest in the Supply Base managed for a purpose other than for energy markets? Yes - Majority

**Explanation:** Wood harvesting is carried out mainly for the production of lumber.

# For the forests in the Supply Base, is there an intention to retain, restock or encourage natural regeneration within 5 years of felling? Yes - Majority

**Explanation:** Reforestation is carried out by natural, artificial or combined reforestation. The choice of the method of reforestation depends on the tree species, the type of forest and the amount of viable undergrowth and young growth in a specific area of non-forested land. Reforestation is carried out according to the order of the MINISTRY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ECOLOGY RUSSIAN FEDERATION of December 4, 2020 N 1014 ON APPROVAL OF THE RULES FOREST RESTORATION.

# Was the feedstock used in the biomass removed from a forest as part of a pest/disease control measure or a salvage operation? No

**Explanation:** When concluding contracts for the supply of sawdust, the company asks for the details of origin of the timber from the suppliers.

#### Feedstock

Reporting period from: 01 Jul 2020

Reporting period to: 30 Jun 2021

- a. Total volume of Feedstock: 1-200,000 tonnes
- b. Volume of primary feedstock: 0 N/A
- c. List percentage of primary feedstock, by the following categories.
  - Certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
  - Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
- d. List of all the species in primary feedstock, including scientific name: N/A
- e. Is any of the feedstock used likely to have come from protected or threatened species?  $N\!/\!A$ 
  - Name of species: N/A
  - Biomass proportion, by weight, that is likely to be composed of that species (%): N/A
- f. Hardwood (i.e. broadleaf trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%): N/A
- g. Softwood (i.e. coniferous trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%): N/A
- h. Proportion of biomass composed of or derived from saw logs (%): N/A
- i. Specify the local regulations or industry standards that define saw logs: N/A
- j. Roundwood from final fellings from forests with > 40 yr rotation times Average % volume of fellings delivered to BP (%): N/A
- k. Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest: N/A N/A
- I. List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest, by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
  - Primary feedstock from primary forest certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
  - Primary feedstock from primary forest not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
- m. Volume of secondary feedstock: 1-200,000 tonnes
  - Physical form of the feedstock: Sawdust

#### n. Volume of tertiary feedstock: 0 N/A

- Physical form of the feedstock: N/A

Proportion of feedstock sourced per type of claim during the reporting period						
Feedstock type	Sourced by using Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) %	FSC %	PEFC %	SFI %		
Primary	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00		
Secondary	0,00	100,00	0,00	0,00		
Tertiary	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00		
Other	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00		

## **3 Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation**

Is Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) is completed? No

#### **4 Supply Base Evaluation**

#### 4.1 Scope

Feedstock types included in SBE: N/A

SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessments used: Not applicable

List of countries and regions included in the SBE:

Country: N/A

Indicator with specified risk in the risk assessment used:  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N/A}}$ 

Specific risk description:

#### 4.2 Justification

N/A

#### 4.3 Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme

N/A

#### 4.4 Conclusion

## **5 Supply Base Evaluation process**

### **6** Stakeholder consultation

N/A

#### 6.1 Response to stakeholder comments

## 7 Mitigation measures

#### 7.1 Mitigation measures

N/A

#### 7.2 Monitoring and outcomes

## 8 Detailed findings for indicators

Detailed findings for each Indicator are given in Annex 1 in case the Regional Risk Assessment (RRA) is not used.

Is RRA used? N/A

## 9 Review of report

#### 9.1 Peer review

N/A

#### 9.2 Public or additional reviews

## Approval of report

Approval of Supply Base Report by senior management						
Report Prepared by:	Svetlana Valerievna Usova	FSC Manager	27 Sep 2021			
	Name	Title	Date			
Report Prepared by:	Alexandr Yurievich Petrunko	SBP manager	27 Sep 2021			
	Name	Title	Date			
The undersigned persons confirm that I/we are members of the organisation's senior management and do hereby affirm that the contents of this evaluation report were duly acknowledged by senior management as being accurate prior to approval and finalisation of the report.						
Report approved by:	Alexandr Konstantinovich Petrunko	General director	27 Sep 2021			
	Name	Title	Date			

# Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators